HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.2609 OF 2005.

Abdul Karim Laadsaab Telgi. ...Applicant.

V/s.

Economic Offences Unit,VII/CBI/-
New Delhi & Anr. ...Respondents.

Shri Ganesh Gole, for applicant.

Shri P.D.Gharat, for respondent No.1.

Shri A.S.Gadkari, APP for State.

CORAM: A.M.KHANWILKAR,J
DATE : MAY 4, 2005

P.C. :-

1. Heard counsel for the parties.

2. I see no infirmity in the opinion recorded by the lower below while rejecting prayer as was made before it, to allow the advocate to interview the accused in the court room. The counsel for the applicant however, submits that in that case, the advocate may be allowed to take interview at any other convenient place in the court compound. Such request was obviously not made before the trial court. If it is otherwise permissible, the trial court would be the best Judge to consider this modified request. It is not possible for

this court to visualise several administrative problems that may be encountered by the court staff or for that matter security problem by the police. The trial Judge may consider those matters and pass appropriate orders as may be advised.

2. In my opinion, the court has passed order which meets the ends of justice. The trial court has directed the Superintendent of Jail to allow the advocate for accused to have detailed interview in the jail premises out of the hearing of police personnels. Accordingly, no interference is warranted. Dismissed.